

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/768,946  | 01/30/2004        | Ted H. Applebaum     | 9432-000258          | 7168             |
| 27572 7590 02/21/2008<br>HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.<br>P.O. BOX 828 |                   |                      | EXAMINER             |                  |
|   |                   |                      | OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N |                  |
| BLOOMFIELI  | O HILLS, MI 48303 |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                   |                      | 2626                 |                  |
|   |                   |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                   |                      | 02/21/2008           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | 10/768,946  | APPLEBAUM ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Michael N. Opsasnick  | 2626  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiliure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  | •   |   |  |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 O</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E  | action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro  |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-18 is/are pending in the application Papers  4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-18 is/are pending in the applicant may not request that any objection in the application pending in the application to the Replacement drawing specifics) is/are pending in the application in the application is and 13-18 is/are withdraw is/are withdraw is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-6 and 18-23 is/are rejected.  8) Claim(s) 1-6 and 18-23 is/are rejected.  9) Claim(s) | wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | nte   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/768,946

Art Unit: 2626

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1,1-6,18-23 in the reply filed on October 22, 2007 is acknowledged.

## Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,946

Art Unit: 2626

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-6,18-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Tosaya et al</u> (6487531).

As per claim 1, <u>Tosaya et al (6487531)</u> teaches accepting speech from a user that extracts glottal source parameters, formants (Fig. 4, subblock 56; col. 11 lines 5-17; col. 11 lines 45-60); and an output communicating the result of the decision (as performing speaker verification – col. 11 lines 50-59)

As per claims 2-4, <u>Tosaya et al (6487531)</u> teaches glottal source parameters including spectral, voicing content, and noise (Tosaya addresses this issue of varying types of possible input speech, ranging from noise col. 8 lines 25-30; col. 10 line 64 – col. 11 line 20) with using glottal information to determine/model the input speech, and performing a comparison to the input speech 9col. 11 lines 50-59).

As per claims 5,6, <u>Tosaya et al (6487531)</u> teaches driving the excitations using phase fluctuating/shifting parameters, as well as harmonic/subharmonic driven excitation models (col. 11 lines 4-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,946

Art Unit: 2626

Claims 18-23 are method step claims that are implemented in the apparatus claims of claims 1-6; as such, claims 18-23 are similar in scope and content to claims 1-6, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale as presented above with respect to claims 1-6.

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL OPSASNICK PRIMARY EXAMINER

MM Myring mno AU2626

12/17/07